IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GIRAFA.COM, INC.)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 07-787-SLR
AMAZON WEB SERVICES LLC, AMAZON.COM, INC., ALEXA INTERNET, INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., SNAP TECHNOLOGIES, INC., YAHOO! INC., SMARTDEVIL INC., EXALEAD, INC., and EXALEAD S.A.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)))))
Defendants.	Ć

AMAZON WEB SERVICES LLC, AMAZON.COM, INC., AND ALEXA INTERNET INC.'S REPLY SUPPORTING THEIR MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SURREPLY IN RESPONSE TO GIRAFA.COM, INC.'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

OF COUNSEL:

Thomas G. Pasternak R. David Donoghue DLA PIPER US LLP 203 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60601-1293 Tel: (312) 368-4000

M. Elizabeth Day Gregory J. Lundell DLA PIPER US LLP 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2248 Tel: (650) 833-2000

Dated: August 11, 2008 877983/32639

David E. Moore (#3983) POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 Tel: (302) 984-6000 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)

Attorneys for Defendants Amazon Web Services LLC, Amazon.com, Inc., and Alexa Internet, Inc.

The Amazon Defendants raised only three targeted points in their Surreply:

- 1. Girafa's Reply reads a new limitation into the claims—that the hyperlink associated with a thumbnail visual image of a home page cannot be a hyperlink to the homepage represented by the thumbnail visual image. In its Opposition to the Amazon Defendants' Motion for Leave to File a Surreply ("Opposition"), D.I. 132, Girafa claims that it made this argument in its opening papers, but never identifies where, because it did not.
- 2. The invalidating Schmid prior art reference was, in fact, published before Girafa's filing date and was proper evidence of invalidity, when the correct rules are applied. In its Reply, Girafa cited an old, now incorrect version of the MPEP to challenge Schmid. The Amazon Defendants' Surreply corrected Girafa's error, citing the latest version of the MPEP and showing that Schmid meets the standard. Girafa's Opposition again argues for the old, now incorrect version of the MPEP. Regardless, a defendant may prevail against a preliminary injunction motion just by raising a substantial question as to the validity of the asserted patent claims. *Erico Int'l Corp. v. Vutec Corp.*, 516 F.3d 1350, 1355-56 (Fed. Cir. 2008). The Schmid reference does far more than that.
- 3. On Reply, both Girafa and its expert Dr. Myers, changed their positions on the definition of "separate" servers, moving from requiring only *logical* separation in their opening papers to requiring actual *physical* separation. In its Opposition Girafa claims that it did not change its position, but the record speaks for itself.

None of the Amazon Defendants' arguments was made in an effort to get the last word, but rather, each argument refutes new evidence or position reversals improperly made in Girafa's Reply, which is the exact circumstance where a surreply is allowed. *In re ML-Lee Acquisition Fund II, L.P. Sec. Litig.*, 848 F. Supp. 527, 566 at n. 28 (D. Del. 1994) (granting leave to file a surreply because of new arguments and evidence used in the reply brief).

OF COUNSEL:

Thomas G. Pasternak R. David Donoghue DLA PIPER US LLP 203 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1900 Chicago, IL 60601-1293 Tel: (312) 368-4000

M. Elizabeth Day Gregory J. Lundell DLA PIPER US LLP 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2248 Tel: (650) 833-2000

Dated: August 11, 2008 877983/32639

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: /s/ David E. Moore
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)
David E. Moore (#3983)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899

Tel: (302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Amazon Web Services LLC, Amazon.com, Inc., and Alexa Internet, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David E. Moore, hereby certify that on August 11, 2008, the attached document was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification to the registered attorney(s) of record that the document has been filed and is available for viewing and downloading.

I further certify that on August 11, 2008, the attached document was Electronically Mailed to the following person(s):

Steven J. Balick
John G. Day
Tiffany Geyer Lydon
ASHBY & GEDDES
500 Delaware Avenue, 8th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19899
sbalick@ashby-geddes.com
jday@ashby-geddes.com
tlydon@ashby-geddes.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Girafa.com, Inc.

William H. Mandir
John F. Rabena
Trevor C. Hill
Chandran B. Iyer
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC.
2100 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
wmandir@sughrue.com
jrabena@sughrue.com
thill@sughrue.com
cbiyer@sughrue.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Girafa.com, Inc.

Anne Shea Gaza
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 551
Wilmington, DE 19899
gaza@rlf.com
Attorneys for Defendant IAC Search &
Media Inc.

Antonio R. Sistos
Jennifer A. Kash
Alison Monahan
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &
HEDGES, LLP
50 California Street 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
antoniosistos@quinnemanuel.com
jenniferkash@quinnemanuel.com
alisonmonahan@quinnemanuel.com
Attorneys for Defendant IAC Search &
Media Inc.

Claude M. Stern
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &
HEDGES, LLP
555 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 560
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
claudestern@quinnemanuel.com
Attorneys for Defendant IAC Search &
Media Inc.

Daniel M. Cislo
Mark D. Nielsen
CISLO & THOMAS LLP
1333 2nd Street, Suite 500
Santa Monica, CA 90401
dan@cislo.com
mnielsen@cislo.com
Attorneys for Snap Technologies Inc.

Harold V. Johnson
Scott A. Timmerman
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
NBC Tower, Suite 3600
455 North Cityfront Plaza Drive
Chicago, IL 60611-5599
hjohnson@usebrinks.com
stimmerman@usebrinks.com
Attorneys for Defendants Exalead Inc.
and Exalead S.A.

Jack B. Blumenfeld
Rodger D. Smith II
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL
1201 North Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
jblumenfeld@nmat.com
rsmith@mnat.com
Attorneys for Defendant Yahoo! Inc.

Arthur G. Connolly, III
CONNOLLY, BOVE, LODGE & HUTZ
1007 North Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899
aconnollyIII@cblh.com
Attorneys for Snap Technologies Inc.

Thomas C. Grimm
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
tgrimm@mnat.com
Attorneys for Defendants Exalead Inc. and
Exalead S.A.

Justin Lim
2156
Montreal, Quebec H8N 1K7
Canada
jhlim@smartdevil.com
Attorneys for Defendant Smartdevil Inc.

Matthew D. Powers
Douglas E. Lumish
Yen P. Nguyen
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
matthew.powers@weil.com
doug.lumish@weil.com
titi.nguyen@weil.com
Attorneys for Defendant Yahoo! Inc.

/s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz

David E. Moore

Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP

Hercules Plaza – Sixth Floor

1313 North Market Street

P.O. Box 951

Wilmington, DE 19899-0951

(302) 984-6000

rhorwitz@potteranderson.com

dmoore@potteranderson.com

842506 / 32639